

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/582,342	09/18/2000	Rudi Brands	01975.0025	8325		
22852 75	852 7590 12/08/2005			EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			LANKFORD JR, LEON B			
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			1651			

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/582,342	BRANDS, RUDI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leon Lankford	1651		

	Leon Lankford	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in an an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	• • •	FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	DWITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	e. The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 October 2005</u> . A beath the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replayed. AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered	because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	_		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	reiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separat	te, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	•	will be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 7-27</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will I	not he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under approversion of the second	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	r entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	ance because:
the claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.			A
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s).	7
13. Other:		Leon BLankford	Ir
-		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651	
		Antenir Noo i	